# Message Text

#### CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 BELGRA 04205 01 OF 02 222048Z ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-04 H-01 INR-07 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-01 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 ACDA-07 TRSE-00 OMB-01 CU-02 IO-13 USIE-00 INRE-00 NSCE-00 SSO-00 /075 W

-----046784 222129Z /63

O R 221915Z JUN 77 FM AMEMBASSY BELGRADE TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 1139 INFO AMEMBASSY MOSCOW USMISSION NATO

CONFIDENTIAL SECTION 1 OF 2 BELGRADE 4205

E.O. 11652: GDS TAGS: CSCE

SUBJECT: BELGRADE CSCE MEETING: JUNE 22 MEETING

DEPARTMENT FOR EUR/RPM

FROM USDEL CSCE

SUMMARY: NETRALS AND NON-ALIGNED (NNA) TABLED THEIR COMPROMISE DRAFT AGENDA FOR MAIN MEETING ON JUNE 22. WE EXPECT THAT DISCUSSION WILL NOW FOCUS ON IT. INITIAL SOVIET REACTION WAS COOL, BUT POLISH REP WAS MORE POSITIVE AND LEFT DOOR OPEN TO COMPROMISE. NEUTRAL DRAFT IS FAR CLOSER TO US/UK PROPOSAL THAN TO THAT OF SOVIETS BUT SEVERAL IMPORTANT ISSUES REMAIN, AND ALLIES DO NOT WISH TO RUSH TOO PRECIPITOUSLY TO ACCEPT MAIN POINTS OF NNA DRAFT. END SUMMARY.

1. WE ARE TRANSMITTING FULL TEXT OF PROPOSAL BY NINE NEUTRAL AND NON-ALIGNED STATES (AUSTRIA, CYPRUS, FINLAND, LIECHTENSTEIN, MALTA, SAN MARINO, SWEDEN, SWITZERLAND, AND YUGOSLAVIA) BY SEPTEL. ITS MAIN POINTS ARE:

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 BELGRA 04205 01 OF 02 222048Z

(A) IT PUTS FIRST TWO WESTERN AGENDA ITEMS --EXCHANGE OF VIEWS ON IMPLEMENTATION AND ON NEW PROPOSALS--TOGETHER IN SINGLE ITEM IN DEFERENCE TO SOVIETS, WHILE MAINTAINING DISTINCTION BY MAKING THEM INTO SUBHEADINGS SET OFF BY TICKS.

(B) ITS SECOND AGEND ITEM ESSENTIALLY REPRODUCES WESTERN

PARA 3 ON MODALITES FOR POST-BELGRADE MEETINGS BUT ADDS LANGUAGE WHICH IMPLIES RATHER STRONGLY THAT MAIN MEETING WILL DECIDE AT LEAST TO HOLD A FURTHER BELGRADE-STYLE MEETING.

(C) IT ADDS A NEW THIRD AGENDA ITEM PROVIDING FOR ADOPTION OF A FINAL DOCUMENT WHICH WOULD INCLUDE CONCLUSIONS AND DECISIONS, AND "SET DATE AND PLACE OF THE NEXT MEETING SIMILAR TO THE PRESENT ONE WITHIN THE FOLLOW-UP OF THE CSCE."

3. POLES PROVIDED INITIAL EASTERN BLOC REACTION BY EXPRESSING PREFERENCE FOR SOVIET AGENDA OVER THAT OF NUETRALS WHICH THEY SAID WAS ONLY SLIGHTLY DIFFERENT FROM US/UK PROPOSAL. POLISH REP VOLUNTEERED. HOWEVER. ON "PRELIMINARY PERSONAL BASIS" THAT NEUTRAL DRAFT WOULD BE MORE ACCEPTABLE IF IT DROPPED TICKS IN FIRST PARAGRAPH (I.E., REUNITED REVIEW OF IMPLEMENTATION AND NEW PROPOSALS), OR, ALTERNATIVELY, IF IT USED ADDITIONAL TICKS TO BREAK NEW PROPOSALS SUBSECTION INTO THREE PARTS (DEEPENING OF MUTUAL RELATIONS; IMPROVE-MENT OF SECURITY AND DEVELOPMENT OF COOPERATION: AND DEVELOPMENT OF DETENTE PROCESS.) IN MOVE AWAY FROM SOVIET DRAFT, POLISH REP SAID HE HAD POSITIVE REACTION TOWARD AGENDA ITEM ON POST-BELGRADE MEETINGS, PROVIDED FINAL ACT LANGUAGE WAS FOLLOWED EXACTLY. POLISH REP ALSO EXPRESSED CONFIDENTIAL.

### CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 BELGRA 04205 01 OF 02 222048Z

INTEREST IN AGENDA ITEM ON FINAL DOCUMENT, PROVIDED IT DID NOT PREJUDICE DECISIONS TO BE TAKEN BY MAIN MEETING. HE SUGGESTED SUCH AN ITEM MIGHT BE WORDED SIMPLY AS "ADOPTION OF A DOCUMENT."

4. US EXPRESSED THANKS TO NEUTRALS FOR ATTEMPTING TO MOVE WORK AHEAD, PROMISED TO STUDY PROPOSAL CAREFULLY, WHILE NOTING THAT NEUTRALS' SYSTEM OF TICKS MAY NOT MAKE SUFFICIENTLY CLEAR DISTINCTION BETWEEN REVIEW OF IMPLEMENTATION AND NEW PROPOSALS. UK AND FRANCE ALSO WELCOMED NEUTRAL DRAFT AND PROMISED MORE DETAILED VIEWS AT LATER DATE. THEY SUGGESTED NEUTRALS SHOULD PROVIDE MORE CLARIFICATION ON HOW THEY SEE SYSTEM OF TICKS WORKING ON FIRST AGENDA ITEM AND THAT THOUGHT MIGHT BE GIVEN TO REWORKING PARTS OF PARAS 2 AND 3 WHICH APPEAR TO PREJUDGE DECISIONS ON FURTHER MEETINGS.

5. SPEAKING TOWARD CLOSE OF SESSION, SOVIET RE EX-PRESSED CONCERN THAT SOME DELS STILL DESIRED TO TAMPER WITH FINAL ACT. HE ARGUED THAT NEUTRAL DRAFT WAS TOO CLOSE TO WESTERN PROPOSAL FOR SEPARATE AGENDA ITEMS ON IMPLEMENTATION AND NEW PROPOSALS, BUT HINTED THAT EQUAL

#### CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 BELGRA 04205 02 OF 02 222113Z ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-04 H-01 INR-07 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-01 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 ACDA-07 TRSE-00 OMB-01 CU-02 IO-13 USIE-00 INRE-00 NSCE-00 SSO-00 /075 W

-----047162 222128Z /63

O R 221915Z JUN 77 FM AMEMBASSY BELGRADE TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 1140 INFO AMEMBASSY MOSCOW USMISSIONNATO

CONFIDENTIAL SECTION 2 OF 2 BELGRADE 4205

IMPORTANCE OF FOUR UNDER EXCHANGE OF VIEWS
PARAGRAPH SHOULD BE MADE CLEARER, REFLECTING MORE
CAUTIOUSLY SAME IDEA AS ALTERNATIVE ARRANGEMENT FOR
AGENDA ITEM 1 WHICH HAD BEEN MENTIONED BY POLISH REP.

6. COMMENT: AS EXPECTED, NEUTRALS AND NON-ALIGNED HAVE COME OUT WITH PROPOSAL WHICH IS MUCH CLOSER TO OURS THAN TO SOVIETS. OUR ONE PROBLEM IS WITH FIRST AGENDA ITEM WHERE NEUTRAL PROPOSAL OF TWO TICKS TO DISTINGUISH BETWEEN EXCHANGE OF VIEWS ON IMPLEMENTATION AND ON NEW PROPOSALS IS PROBABLY MINIMUM THAT WE CAN ACCEPT, AND IS CERTAINLY LESS DESIREABLE THAN ENUMERATED SUBHEADING. POLISH SUGGESTION, FOR EXAMPLE, WOULD MEAN THAT REVIEW OF IMPLEMENTATION WOULD BE ONLY ONE-QUARTER OF FIRST OF THREE AGENDA ITEMS. WESTERN DELS ARE RELUCTANT, THEREFORE, TO MOVE TOO QUICKLY TO ACCEPT NEUTRAL DRAFT, LEST SOVIETS HANG BACK AND THEN SEEK TO OBTAIN FURTHER COMPROMISE BETWEEN THEIR HARD-LINE PROPOSAL AND NEUTRAL COMPROMISE.

7. NEUTRALS HAVE NOW REOPENED NEGOTIATIONS. INITIAL INDICATIONS ARE THAT THEY HAVE MOVED MEETING FORWARD CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 BELGRA 04205 02 OF 02 222113Z

BY BEGINNING TO DRAW SOVIETS AWAY FROM THEIR INITIAL INSISTENCE THAT MEETINGS COULD ONLY REPRODUCE SLAVISHLY AGENDA-RELATED LANGUAGE IN FINAL ACT. SOVIET AND POLISH COMMENTS (AS WELL AS LATER BULGARIAN INTERVENTION) SUGGESTED THAT SOVIETS NOW ACCEPT THAT QUESTION OF POST-BELGRADE MEETINGS MUST BE A SEPARATE AGENDA ITEM AND THAT MEETING WILL HAVE TO HAVE SOME SORT OF FINAL DOCUMENT. KEY QUESTION FOR NEXT FEW DAYS IS WHETHER NEUTRAL COMPROMISE BETWEEN US/UK AND SOVIET TREATMENT OF REVIEW OF IMPLEMENTATION AND NEW PROPOSALS WILL BE ACCEPTABLE TO ALL DELEGATIONS. END COMMENT. SHERER

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X

Capture Date: 01-Jan-1994 12:00:00 am Channel Indicators: n/a

**Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED** 

Concepts: AGREEMENT DRAFT, MEETING AGENDA, NONALIGNED NATIONS, COLLECTIVE SECURITY AGREEMENTS

Control Number: n/a

Copy: SINGLE Sent Date: 22-Jun-1977 12:00:00 am Decaption Date: 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am

Decaption Note:

Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW

Disposition Date: 22 May 2009 Disposition Event:

Disposition Event:
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1977BELGRA04205
Document Source: CORE

**Document Unique ID: 00** Drafter: n/a

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: GS Errors: N/A

**Expiration:** 

Film Number: D770223-0563

Format: TEL From: BELGRADE Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path: ISecure: 1

Legacy Key: link1977/newtext/t19770632/aaaabbxy.tel

Line Count: 184 Litigation Code IDs: Litigation Codes:

Litigation History: Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM

Message ID: 0994e378-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc

Office: ACTION EUR

Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 4
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Reference: n/a Retention: 0

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Content Flags: Review Date: 17-Mar-2005 12:00:00 am

**Review Event:** 

Review Exemptions: n/a **Review Media Identifier:** Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

SAS ID: 2075406 Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: BELGRADE CSCE MEETING: JUNE 22 MEETING DEPARTMENT FOR EUR/RPM FROM USDEL CSCE

TAGS: MARR, CSCE

To: STATE Type: TE

vdkvgwkey: odbc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS\_Docs/0994e378-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc

Review Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009